

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

**September 29, 2003**

**IN RE:**

**PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF  
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT AND  
AMENDMENTS THERETO BETWEEN  
BELL SOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.  
AND TEXAS HOMETEL, INC. D/B/A 877-RING  
AGAIN**

**DOCKET NO.  
03-00445**

---

**ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT  
AND FIRST SET OF AMENDMENTS**

---

This matter came before Director Pat Miller, Director Sara Kyle and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority" or "TRA"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on August 18, 2003, to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of the interconnection agreement and first set of amendments thereto negotiated between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Texas Hometel, Inc. d/b/a 877-RING AGAIN, filed on July 23, 2003.

Based upon a review of the agreement and first set of amendments, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:

- 1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

2) The agreement and the amendments are in the public interest as they provide consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. service area.

3) The agreement and the amendments are not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.

4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it “discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement” or if the implementation of the agreement “is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.” Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d).<sup>1</sup> Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement and the amendments are consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.

5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.


6) The agreement and the amendments are reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

---

<sup>1</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B).

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**


The Petition is granted, and the interconnection agreement and first set of amendments thereto negotiated between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Texas Hometel, Inc. d/b/a 877-RING AGAIN are approved and are subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "PAT Miller", written over a horizontal line.

Pat Miller, Director

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sara Kyle", written over a horizontal line.

Sara Kyle, Director

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Jones", written over a horizontal line.

Ron Jones, Director